## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/772,386	TAMAMOTO, JUNICHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
RAKESH KUMAR	3651		

	TOTALESTITIONINAL		0001	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE 1	HIS APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to application, applicant must timely file one of the followapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendn Appeal (with appeal fee) in	nent, affidavi compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the n	nailing date of the final rejection	n.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box 6	pire later than SIX MONTHS fr	rom the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension and the correspor f the shortened statutory period later than three months after t	nding amount d for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in o	compliance with 37 CFR 41	.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be fil AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject				cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further		rch (see NO	ΓE below);	
(b) They are not deemed to place the application in	•	motorially ray	ducing or cipanlifying th	a ionuae for
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application i appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by	materially red	auding of simplifying tr	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33		of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFF		e of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			1	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).		a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final actio because applicant failed to provide a showing of goo was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is nece</li> </ol>	d to overcome all rejections	under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla	nation of the status of the c	laims after ei	ntry is below or attache	∍d.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	ed but does NOT place the	application ir	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statemen</i>	t(s) (PTO/SR/08) Paner No	n(s)		
13. Other:	(G). (1 1 G/GB/GG) 1 apol 140	J(J)		
/Gene Crawford/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The limitations presented in the amendment raise new issues that would require futher consideration and a new search. Futhermore the amendment to the specifications changes the constraints of the previous set limitations thus futher consideration is required.